	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/712,277	CORONEO, MINAS THEODORE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melanie J. Hand	3761
All Participants:	Status of Application: po	ending
(1) <u>Melanie J. Hand</u> .	(3)	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 September 2007	Time: <u>1:35 PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1,22,27,28		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		,
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a wri	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
•		
•		
Meddle		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated interview to request that the limitation "adapted to be deployed within a suprachoroidal space" in claims 1,22,27 and 28 be amended to recite "deployed within a suprachoroidal space". Applicant's representative authorized this Examiner's amendment. The application is now considered to be in condition for allowance upon amendment of the claims.